Message

From: Verhalen, Frances [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DA20B77D29D744A3AAEC0CF67C7718BA-VERHALEN, FRANCES]

Sent: 2/5/2021 2:15:41 PM

To: Kaleri, Cynthia [kaleri.cynthia@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: Mossville

Attachments: Mossville Toxic Air Emissions.pdf

Frances Verhalen, P.E., Chief Air Monitoring & Grants Section US Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Elm Street, Suite 500 (MC 6ARPM) Dallas, TX 75270 214-665-2172 verhalen.frances@epa.gov

From: Garcia, David <Garcia.David@epa.gov> Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2021 3:54 PM

To: Robinson, Jeffrey <Robinson.Jeffrey@epa.gov>; Verhalen, Frances <verhalen.frances@epa.gov>; Seager, Cheryl

<Seager.Cheryl@epa.gov>

Cc: Ngo, Kim < Ngo.Kim@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Mossville

Cheryl,

I am out of the office tomorrow. Should you have a discussion, pls forward invite to Kim, Jeff and Fran, knowing their schedule morning is best.

Jeff/Fran,

Pls read below for the specific ask and assistance below.

David F. Garcia, P.E. Director Air and Radiation Division Ph. 214 665 7593

U.S. EPA Region 6 1201 Elm Street Dallas, Texas

From: Seager, Cheryl < Seager. Cheryl @epa.gov > Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2021 3:42 PM

To: Garcia, David < Garcia. David@epa.gov >; Vaughn, Gloria < Vaughn. Gloria@epa.gov >

Cc: Thompson, Steve < thompson.steve@epa.gov; Gilrein, Stephen < siden.stephen@epa.gov; Osbourne, Margaret

<a href="mailto:kudla.courtne

<Gonzalez.Christina@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Mossville

All,

This is the information that Steve Thompson received from Wilma prior to the call today. The specific ask today was for the Sasol monitor that was at the high school (since torn down) and that is incorporated into the Sasol permit be replaced, and that H2S be added. She also mentioned that the trigger mechanism used in the monitors had been helpful, so would want that as part of the monitoring system.

I'll see if Christina can find a time for us to talk tomorrow (if your schedules indicate you're working) or on Monday.

Thanks, Cheryl

From: Thompson, Steve <thompson.steve@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:03 AM

To: Gilrein, Stephen < gilrein.stephen@epa.gov>; Seager, Cheryl < Seager.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Osbourne, Margaret

<osbourne.margaret@epa.gov>; Smalley, Bryant <smalley.bryant@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Mossville

From: I <<u>subracom@aol.com</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:35 AM

To: Thompson, Steve < thompson.steve@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Mossville

Thank you for looking into the Mossville situation.

The community members that still remain in Mossville after the relocation, are extremely concerned about the air quality. They experience health impacts as a result of air emissions on a frequent basis.

They were also concerned because the air monitoring station funded by industry at the old high school in their community was taken down when Sasol bought the property for their expansion/new facility.

In response to my comments at the public hearing for the air permit for the new Sasol facility, DEQ required Sasol to replace the monitor after construction. To my knowledge that has not been done.

In December I obtained and reviewed the ambient air monitoring data from two of DEQ's monitoring stations, Westlake and Light House Lane.

I prepared a handout of the results of the air monitoring during 2020 as well as other data. Attached is a copy of the handout I prepared.

As you know two hurricanes severely damaged Calcasieu Parish and the Mossville area. The community also had concerns about the

air emissions as a result of industrial facility damages.

As I said earlier, the individuals still living in Mossville are the ones left behind and with the large new Sasol facility, the community is

surrounded by the new Sasol facility as well as the existing facilities.

I did a survey of those individuals still living in Mossville after the Sasol Relocation. Those remaining fall into a number of groups.

- 1. Those with nice brick houses felt then would not be made hole by the amount of money offered. In addition an EJ consultant to the community told the community to hold out for more money. That was inappropriate advise as that did not happen.
- 2. Those that had financial leans that would have to be paid as part of the buy out and they would not have sufficient remaining money to relocate.
- 3. Those that had taken Road Home money after a hurricane. The Road Home money had to be repaid out of the relocation money. We tried to have the Road Home money forgiven. Not successful.

- 4. Property owner that were family members who could not agree on the relocation process.
- 5. Those who had not lived in the area by a certain cutoff date. They did not qualify.

Having provided you with more information than you requested, the situation is that the Mossville community members are suffering as they continue to live in the shadow of the industrial facilities.

Wilma Subra

----Original Message-----

From: Thompson, Steve < thompson.steve@epa.gov > To: SubraCom@aol.com < SubraCom@aol.com >

Sent: Mon, Jan 25, 2021 12:34 pm

Subject: Mossville

Wilma,

I understand that you have a meeting planned with EPA Senior Managers on Feb. 4th to discuss Mossville. I have been asked to pull together any compliance concerns in advance of this meeting but I am not aware of any immediate air compliance concerns in the area.

Are you aware of any specific community concerns relating to enforcement that I could look into in order to prepare for these discussions?

Hope you are doing well. Thank you, Steve

Steve Thompson
Chief
Air Enforcement Branch
Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Division
EPA Region 6
1201 Elm Street, Suite 500
Dallas, Texas 75270
214-665-2769